

# FY 2022 Renewal Project Local Rank Tool

Adopted 8-3-2022

PROJECT NAME and COMPONENT TYPE:	
----------------------------------	--

#### **SCORING INSTRUCTIONS**

For full instructions, please consult the Local Rank Tool Instructions sheet that accompanies the Local Rank Tool. For additional technical assistance, please consult the Collaborative Applicant through contact Adam Cheshire at acheshire@sjgov.org or 209-468-3399.

### THRESHOLD (all projects) Pass/Fail

Applicant has valid 501(c)3 status or proof of government entity	
Applicant attended informational session (new projects only)	_
Applicant submitted Letter of Intent	
Project application material received by deadline	
Application is consistent with the Consolidated Plan	
Applicant has no County, HUD, or OIG findings	
Project complies with 24 CFR part 578	
Application demonstrates required 25% match	
Application will abide by all aspects of Housing First policy	
Project participants will sign a standard lease or rental agreement giving them permanent	
rights to tenancy in the units supported by/underwritten by CoC Program funds (For	
Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Re-Housing projects only)	

#### APPLICANT AND PROJECT CAPACITY (19%, 9 points) Source Points Rubric

1: Active participant in San Joaquin Continuum of Care (Experience and capacity)	Collaborative Applicant		Attended at least 50% of SJCoC general membership meetings in past 12-month period  No	2
2: Annual audit or independent financial review (Experience and capacity)	LOI	2 points	Yes	2
1			No	0

3: HMIS participation with active entry of data in past 3 months	HMIS Lead Agency	2 points	HMIS with active data entry	2
(Experience and capacity)	,		No active data entry	0
4: Data Error Rate for all Personally Identifying Information meets			.25 pts per each category that meets data standard	
HMIS Data Quality Standards.			Name - error rate 2% or less	0.25
(Experience and capacity)	HMIS — APR		Social Security number - error rate 80% or less	0.25
		1.5 points	Date of Birth - error rate 2% or less	0.25
	Question 6a		Race - error rate 4% or less	0.25
			Ethnicity - error rate 4% or less	0.25
			Gender - error rate 2% or less	0.25
5: Data Error Rate for all Universal Data Elements meets HMIS Data			.25 pts per each category that meets data standard	
Quality Standards.			Veteran Status - error rate 2% or less	0.25
(Experience and capacity)	HMIS — APR Question 6b	1.5 points	Project Start Date - 0% error rate	0.25
			Relationship to Head of Household - 0% error rate	0.25
			Client Location - error rate 4% or less	0.25
			Disabling Condition - error rate 4% or less	0.25
			Free quarter point for all projects	0.25

Subtotal:

PROJECT DESIGN (36%, 17 points)	Source	Points	Rubric	
1: Project type — PSH or RRH			PSH	2
(Local priority)	Application	2 points	RRH	1
			Other project type	0
<u>2:</u> Project description provides entire scope of the proposed project.			3 possible points per bullet	
Narrative clearly demonstrates:  • an understanding of the clients to be served and their needs	Application - 3.B-1		Thoroughly demonstrated	3
• the type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of clients to	/ Supplemental Questionnaire	6 points	Minimally demonstrated	1
be served			Not demonstrated	0
3: Project application demonstrates support services that will assist	Application - 4.A-1		Thoroughly demonstrated	3
households to obtain permanent housing	(Supportive	2 noints		
Application should clearly mark and demonstrate that the type, scale,	Services for	3 points	Minimally demonstrated	1
and delivery method of supportive services meets the needs of clients	Program		Not demonstrated	0
4: Project LOI describes how project aligns with SJCoC Strategic Plan	Participants)		3 possible points per bullet	
on Homelessness			7,1111111111111111111111111111111111111	
Application should clearly describe:	Supplemental	6 points	Thoroughly demonstrated	3
• the need gap the program addresses	Questionnaire	o points	Minimally demonstrated	1
<ul> <li>how it aligns with action priorities of the SJCoC Strategic Plan on Homelessness</li> </ul>			Not demonstrated	0

|--|

PROJECT PERFORMANCE (45%, 21 points)	Source	<b>Points</b>	Rubric
--------------------------------------	--------	---------------	--------

PROJECT PERFORMANCE (45%, 21 points)	Source	Points	Rubric	
1: RRH - % of persons enrolled in RRH who exit to permanent housing			> 79%	6
	System		79% to 51%	5
(System-wide Performance Measure 7)	Performance		50% to 40%	4
		6 points	39% to 35%	3
	report from HMIS -		34% to 30%	2
	7.b-1		29% to 3%	1
			< 3%	0
1: PSH - % of persons enrolled in PSH who exit to or maintain			100% to 99.5%	6
permanent housing	System		99.4% to 98.2%	5
(System-wide Performance Measure 7)	Performance		98.1% to 97%	4
	report from HMIS -	6 points	96.9% to 95.5%	3
	7.b-2		95.4% to 94.1%	2
	7.0-2		94% to 88.2%	1
			< 88.2%	0
2: RRH - % of participants who obtain housing via RRH who exit to			> 79%	6
permanent housing		6 points	79% to 51%	5
	Custom Looker		50% to 40%	4
	Report		39% to 35%	3
	Керогс		34% to 30%	2
			29% to 3%	1
			< 3%	0
2: PSH - % of participants who obtain housing via PSH who exit to or			100% to 99.5%	6
retain permanent housing			99.4% to 98.2%	5
	Custom Looker		98.1% to 97%	4
	Report	6 points	96.9% to 95.5%	3
	κεροιτ		95.4% to 94.1%	2
			94% to 88.2%	1
			< 88.2%	0
3: RRH - % of persons 18 and older who obtain housing via RRH who			> 38%	4
increased earned income at exit	System		38% to 19%	3
(System-wide Performance Measure 4 — Use SP report in HMIS)	Performance report from HMIS	•	18.9% to 10%	2
			9.9% to 7.7%	1
			< 7.7%	0

3: PSH - % of persons 18 and older who obtain housing via PSH who			> 38%	4
increased total income at exit/retention	System		38% to 19%	3
(System-wide Performance Measure 4 — Use SP report in HMIS)	Performance	4 points	18.9% to 10%	2
	report from HMIS		9.9% to 7.7%	1
			< 7.7%	0
4: RRH and PSH - Average bed/unit utilization rate			<u>&gt;</u> 90%	5
(Project Performance — Compare actual households housed to contract; Use	Custom report		89% to 80%	4
most recent calendar year; based on Units, not persons)	from HMIS /	Engints	79% to 65%	3
	•	5 points	64% to 50%	2
	Project Application		49% to 35%	1
			< 35%	0

Subtotal:

PROJECT NAME and COMPONENT TYPE:	
----------------------------------	--

## **TOTAL SCORES for Renewal Projects**

THRESHOLD		All pass (Y/N?)	
APPLICANT AND PROJECT CAPACITY	19%	Score:	
PROJECT DESIGN	36%	Score:	
PROJECT PERFORMANCE	45%	Score:	
Total out of 47 possible points	100%	TOTAL:	0

— End of Local Rank Tool for Renewal Projects —